

1 CRAIG P. SEEBALD (*pro hac vice*)
cseebald@mwe.com
2 PAMELA J. MARPLE (*pro hac vice*)
pmarple@mwe.com
3 VINCENT C. VAN PANHUYSEN (*pro hac vice*)
vvanpanhuys@mwe.com
4 **McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP**
600 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
5 Washington, D.C. 20005-3096
Telephone: 202.756.8000
6 Facsimile: 202.756.8087

7 DANIEL E. ALBERTI (68620)
dalberti@mwe.com
8 **McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP**
3150 Porter Drive
9 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212
Telephone: 650.813.5000
10 Facsimile: 650.813.5100

11 Attorneys for Defendants
12 RENESAS TECHNOLOGY CORP.,
RENESAS TECHNOLOGY AMERICA, INC.,
HITACHI, LTD. AND HITACHI AMERICA, LTD.

[ADDITIONAL DEFENDANTS AND COUNSEL
LISTED ON FINAL PAGE]

20 IN RE STATIC RANDOM ACCESS
21 MEMORY (SRAM) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

Case No. M:07-CV-01819-CW

MDL No. 1819

23 This Document Relates to:
ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER
GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
SUBMIT SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF
EXPLAINING RELEVANCE OF THE *GPU*
ORDER TO DEFENDANTS'
OPPOSITION TO DIRECT PURCHASER
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS
CERTIFICATION AND TO ALLOW
PLAINTIFFS TWO PAGE TO RESPOND**

WHEREAS, Civil Local Rule 7-11 permits a party to file an administrative motion with respect to miscellaneous administrative matters, not otherwise governed by federal statute, Federal or local rule or standing order of the assigned judge.

WHEREAS, Defendants seek to submit a brief of no more than two pages explaining the relevance of Honorable William H. Alsup’s Order Certifying Limited Direct-Purchaser Class and Denying Indirect Purchaser in *In Re Graphics Processing Units Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1826 (N.D. Cal. July 18, 2008) (“GPU Order”), to Defendants’ Opposition to Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs’ Motion for Class Certification (“Opposition”).

WHEREAS, Plaintiff requests two additional pages to respond to Defendants supplemental memorandum.

WHEREAS, the *GPU* Order was filed four days after Defendants filed their Opposition. Consequently, Defendants did not have an opportunity to explain the relevance of the *GPU* Order.

NOW THEREFORE, DEFENDANTS AND PLAINTIFFS, THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL, HEREBY STIPULATE to the Court entering the attached Proposed Order.

July 31, 2008

Respectfully Submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

By: /s/ Daniel E. Alberti
Daniel E. Alberti

Attorneys for Defendants
RENESAS TECHNOLOGY CORP.,
RENESAS TECHNOLOGY AMERICA, INC.,
HITACHI, LTD. AND HITACHI AMERICA,
LTD.

1 July 31, 2008

COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY

2

3 By: /s/Steven N. Williams
4 Steven N. Williams

5 Class Counsel for
6 DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO

ORDER

Upon stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing the Court hereby ORDERS:

1. Defendants' may submit a supplemental brief of no more than two pages explaining the relevance of Honorable William H. Alsup's Order Certifying Limited Direct-Purchaser Class and Denying Indirect Purchaser in *In Re Graphics Processing Units Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1826, Case No. C. 06-07417 WHA (N.D. Cal. July 18, 2008).
2. Plaintiff may submit two pages in response to Defendants' supplemental brief – in either its Reply in Support of Class Certification, or in a separate supplemental brief.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

8/4/08

Chadiealt

Dated:

The Honorable Claudia Wilken
United States District Court Judge

WDC99 1598632-3.017575.0722